

Sample Student Growth Objective Form

Name	School	Grade	Course/Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instructior
	Orange High School	10	English II		September 2017 – March 2018
ame the content star ubject, other academ ssessment method.	e, and Assessment N Idards covered, state t ic disciplines, and/or li	he rationale			for the next level of the e format of the
	ext says explicitly as v				tions to support analysis are the text leaves
• RL.9-10.2. De course of the	termine a theme or c				evelopment over the c details and provide ar
• RL.9-10.3. An over the cour	alyze how complex ch se of a text, interact	with other o	characters, and adva	nce the plot or	
and connotat		e the cumul	ative impact of spec	ific word choic	text, including figurativ es on meaning and ton rinformal tone).
etc.) and mal inferentially,	ke relevant connection including determining	ns, to suppo g where the	ort analysis of what t text leaves matters	he text says ex uncertain.	
details; provi • RI.9-10.3. An	•	hary of the t unfolds an	ext. analysis or series of i	ideas or event	l refined by specific s, including the order in
• RI.9-10.4. De connotative,	-	of words ar gs; analyze	nd phrases as they ar the cumulative impa	e used in a tex act of specific v	kt, including figurative, word choices on meanii aper).
on grades 9–	10 reading and conter	nt, choosing	g flexibly from a rang	e of strategies	ords and phrases based and nuances in word
 meanings. L.9-10.6. Acq for reading, v independenc 		ly general a listening at	cademic and domain the college and care	n-specific word eer readiness le	ds and phrases, sufficier evel; demonstrate
uccess in tenth grad kills necessary for cr mphasized in the Ne	e, forming the founda	ition of all s . Close read arning Stan	tudy conducted at th ling of complex text dards for English Lar	ne level. Addit and careful an nguage Arts. Th	ls are critical for studen ionally, they represent alysis of evidence are nese are skills that

Assessment Method: Levels are determined based on 3 information points. (9th Grade Final Grade, 9th Grade PARCC Data, 10th Grade *InSight* scores in September) 9th Grade final grade will be 25%; 9th grade PARCC Data will be 25%; 10th grade *InSight* scores for September will be 50%. Data from the third *Insight* benchmark be used for the target score.

Starting Points and Preparedness Groupings

State the type of information being used to determine starting points and summarize scores for each type by group. Modify the table as needed.

Preparedness Group	Information #1	Information #2	Information #3	
	InSight Assessment Proficiency Level (10 th Grade)	PARCC Data (9 th Grade)	Final Grade (9 th Grade)	
High (at or above to 3 below)	> 10.0 - 7.0	750 - 850	80 - 100	
Middle (3.1 below to 6 below)	4.0 - 6.9	725 – 749	70 - 79	
Low (6 below to 0.5)	1.0 - 3.9	650 – 724	<70	

Student Growth Objective

State simply what percentage of students in each preparedness group will meet what target in the space below, e.g. "75% of students in each group will meet the target score." Describe how the targets reflect ambitious and achievable scores for these students. Use the table to provide more detail for each group. Modify the table as needed.

At least 70% of students will meet the target scores as indicated in the table below. These targets are rigorous because they reflect one year or greater of growth of reading proficiency for students who are not already at grade level. Such growth is required for many students to reach grade level expectations by the end of their high school careers. These results are also achievable because they are based upon typical growth rates for implementation of the *Reading Plus* software alone at the secondary level, and in this classroom *Reading Plus* software usage will be supported by targeted small group instruction.

(See Table 1 for individual target scores)

Preparedness Group (e.g. 1,2,3)		Number of Students in Each Group		Target Score on Insight Assessment			
High				+1.0			
Middle				+1.5			
Low				+2.0			
Scoring Plan State the projected scores for each group and what percentage/number of students will meet this target at each attainment level. Modify the table as needed.							
Duran and duran	Other diameter Terrerati	Teacher SGO Score Based on Percent of Students Achieving Target					
Preparedness	Student Target	Scores (Fill from results in Table 2)					
Group	Score	Exceptional (4)	Full (3)	Partial (2)	Insufficient (1)		
		>75%	70%-75%	69%-65%	<65%		
All students	(Individually Set)						
Approval of Student Growth Objective Administrator approves scoring plan and assessment used to measure student learning.							
Teacher Signature			Date Submitted				
Evaluator Signature				Date Approved			

Results of Student Growth Objective						
Summarize results using weighted average as appropriate. Delete and add columns and rows as needed.						
Preparedness Group	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score	
All students			100%			
Notes Describe any changes made to SGO after initial approval, e.g. because of changes in student population, other unforeseen circumstances, etc.						
Review SGO at Annual Conference Describe successes and challenges, lessons learned from SGO about teaching and student learning, and steps to improve SGOs for next year.						
Teacher		Signature		_ Date		
Evaluator		Signature		_ Date		